Positivity manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Universal function for a weighted space $L^1_\mu[0,1]$

Artsrun Sargsyan · Martin Grigoryan

arXiv:1701.05776v1 [math.FA] 20 Jan 2017

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract It is shown that there exist such a function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ and a weight function $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$ that g is universal for the weighted space $L^1_\mu[0,1]$ with respect to signs of its Fourier–Walsh coefficients.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) MSC 42C10 · MSC 43A15

1 Introduction

Historically, the first type of a universal function was considered by G. Birkhoff [1] in 1929. He proved, that there exists an entire function $g(z)$, which is universal with respect to translations, i.e. for every entire function $f(z)$ and for each number $r > 0$ there exists a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, so that the sequence $\{g(z+n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ uniformly converges to $f(z)$ on the disk $|z| \leq r$. In 1952 G. MacLane [2] proved a similar result for another type of universality, namely, there exists an entire function $g(z)$, which is universal with respect to derivatives, i.e. for every entire function $f(z)$ and for each number $r > 0$ there exists a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, so that the sequence $\{g^{(n_k)}(z)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ uniformly converges to $f(z)$ on the disk $|z| \leq r$. Further, in 1975 S. Voronin [3] proved the universality theorem for the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, which states that any nonvanishing analytic function can be approximated uniformly by certain purely imaginary shifts

A. Sargsyan
YSU, Alex Manoogian 1, 0025, Yerevan, Armenia/CANDLE SRI, Acharyan 31, 0040, Yerevan, Armenia
Tel.: +374-94-402155
E-mail: asargsyan@ysu.am

M. Grigoryan
YSU, Alex Manoogian 1, 0025, Yerevan, Armenia
Tel.: +374-77-456585
E-mail: gmarting@ysu.am

of the zeta function in the critical strip, namely, if $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}$ and $g(s)$ is a nonvanishing continuous function on the disk $|s| \leq r$, that is analytic in the interior, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists such a positive real number τ that

$$\max_{|s|\leq r} \left| g(s) - \zeta(s + 3/4 + i\tau) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

In 1987 K. Grosse–Erdman [4] proved the existence of infinitely differentiable function with universal Taylor expansion, namely, there exists such a function $g(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{R})$ with $g(0) = 0$ that for every function $f(x) \in C(\mathcal{R})$ with $f(0) = 0$ and for each number $r > 0$ there exists a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, so that the sequence

$$S_{n_k}(g, 0) = \sum_{m=1}^{n_k} \frac{g^{(m)}(0)}{m!} x^m$$

uniformly converges to $f(x)$ on $|x| \leq r$.

There are also many works devoted to the existence of universal series (in the common sense, with respect to rearrangements, partial series, signs of coefficients and etc.) in various classical orthogonal systems. The most general results were obtained by D. Menshov [5], A. Talalyan [6], P. Ulyanov [7] and their disciples (see [8]–[19]).

The results presented in the current paper are an addition to this attractive area of mathematical research.

Let $|E|$ be the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subseteq [0, 1]$, $\chi_E(x)$– its characteristic function, $L^p[0, 1]$ $(p > 0)$ – the class of all those measurable functions on $[0, 1]$ that satisfy the condition $\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx < \infty$, $L^p_{\mu}[0, 1]$ (weighted space) – the class of all those measurable functions on $[0, 1]$ that satisfy the condition $\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p \mu(x) dx < \infty$, where $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$ is a weight function, and $\{\varphi_k\}$ – a complete orthonormal system in $L^2[0, 1]$.

Definition 1. *We say that a function $g \in L^1[0, 1]$ is universal for a class $L^p[0, 1]$, $p > 0$, with respect to signs of its Fourier coefficients (signs–subseries of its Fourier series) by the system $\{\varphi_k\}$, if for each function $f \in L^p[0, 1]$ one can choose such numbers $\delta_k = \pm 1$ ($\delta_k = 0, \pm 1$) that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_k c_k(g)\varphi_k(x)$, where $c_k(g) = \int_0^1 g(x)\varphi_k(x)dx$, converges to f in $L^p[0, 1]$ metric, i.e.*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} \delta_k c_k(g)\varphi_k(x) - f(x) \right|^p dx = 0.$$

Definition 2. *Let $\mu(x)$ be a weight function defined on $[0, 1]$. We say that a function $g \in L^1[0, 1]$ is universal for a weighted space $L^p_{\mu}[0, 1]$ with respect to signs of its Fourier coeffcients (signs–subseries of its Fourier series) by the system $\{\varphi_k\}$, if for each function $f \in L^p_{\mu}[0, 1]$ one can choose such numbers*

$\delta_k = \pm 1$ *(*$\delta_k = 0, \pm 1$*) that the series* $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_k c_k(g)\varphi_k(x)$ *converges to* f *in* $L^p_\mu[0,1]$ *metric, i.e.*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} \delta_k c_k(g)\varphi_k(x) - f(x) \right|^p \mu(x)dx = 0.$$

Let us recall the definition of the Walsh orthonormal system $\{W_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Functions of the Walsh system are defined by means of Rademacher's functions

$$R_n(x) = \ \text{sign}(\sin 2^n \pi x), \quad x \in [0,1], \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

in the following way (see [20]): $W_0(x) \equiv 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$W_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} R_{k_i+1}(x),$$

where $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_p} \quad (k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_p)$.

Remark. There does not exist a function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ which is universal for a certain class $L^p[0,1]$, $p \geq 1$, neither with respect to signs of its Fourier–Walsh coefficients nor with respect to signs–subseries of its Fourier–Walsh series.

Indeed, if such universal function existed then for the function $k_0 c_{k_0}(g) W_{k_0}(x)$, where $k_0 > 1$ is any natural number with condition $c_{k_0}(g) \neq 0$, one could find such numbers $\delta_k = \pm 1$ or $\delta_k = 0, \pm 1$ that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} \delta_k c_k(g) W_k(x) - k_0 c_{k_0}(g) W_{k_0}(x) \right|^p dx = 0,$$

which simply leads to contradiction: $\delta_{k_0} = k_0 > 1$.

It turns out, however, that the situation changes when considering weighted spaces $L^p_\mu[0,1]$, $p \geq 1$, or classes $L^p[0,1]$, $p \in (0,1)$. For the latter case in [21] the authors have constructed a universal function with respect to signs of Fourier–Walsh coefficients. For the case $L^p_\mu[0,1]$, $p \geq 1$ in [22] the authors could construct a universal function with respect to signs–subseries of Fourier–Walsh series. As a next step, the existence of a universal function for spaces $L^p_\mu[0,1]$, $p \geq 1$ with respect to signs of Fourier–Walsh coefficients was considered. We would like to note that the universality with respect to signs of Fourier–Walsh coefficients is much harder to acheive than the universality with respect to signs–subseries of Fourier–Walsh series. Therefore, it has been possible to construct such a function only for the $p = 1$ case yet. The question is open for spaces $L^p_\mu[0,1]$, $p > 1$.

The following theorem is true for the Walsh system:

Theorem. *There exist a function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ and a weight function $0 < \mu(x) \le 1$, so that g is universal for the weighted space $L^1_\mu[0,1]$ with respect to signs of its Fourier-Walsh coefficients.*

Moreover, it will be shown that the measure of the set on which $\mu(x) = 1$ can be made arbitrarily close to 1, and the function $g(x)$ can be choosen to have strictly decreasing Fourier–Walsh coefficients and converging to it by $L^1[0,1]$ norm Fourier–Walsh series.

Note that, considering generalities of many results obtained for the Walsh and trigonometric systems, an interest arises to find out whether the proved theorem is true for the trigonometric system.

2 Main lemmas

For the sake of simplicity the proof of the main theorem is divided into several steps which are given in the form of lemmas. Let us start from the known properties of the Walsh system $\{W_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. It is known (see [20]) that for each natural number m we have

$$(1.a) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-1} W_k(x) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \text{when} \quad x \in [0, 2^{-m}), \\ 0, & \text{when} \quad x \in (2^{-m}, 1], \end{cases}$$

and, consequently,

$$(1.b) \qquad \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} W_k(x) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \text{when} \quad x \in [0, 2^{-m-1}), \\ -2^m, & \text{when} \quad x \in (2^{-m-1}, 2^{-m}), \\ 0, & \text{when} \quad x \in (2^{-m}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, for any natural number $M \in [2^m, 2^{m+1})$ and numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1}$ one gets

$$(2) \qquad \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^m}^{M} a_k W_k(x) \right| dx \le \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_k W_k(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We will use also the following lemma [23]:

Lemma 1. *For each dyadic interval $\Delta = \left[\frac{l}{2^K}, \frac{l+1}{2^K}\right]$, $l \in [0, 2^K)$, and for every such natural number $M > K$ that $\frac{M-K}{2}$ is a whole number, there exists a polynomial in the Walsh system*

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=2^M}^{2^{M+1}-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

so that

1) $|a_k| = 2^{-\frac{M+K}{2}}, \quad$ *when* $\quad k \in [2^M, 2^{M+1})$,

2) $H(x)=-1, \quad$ *if* $\quad x\in E_1,\ |E_1|=\frac{1}{2}|\Delta|,$
3) $H(x)=1, \quad$ *if* $\quad x\in E_2,\ |E_2|=\frac{1}{2}|\Delta|,$
4) $H(x)=0, \quad$ *if* $\quad x\notin\Delta.$
where E_1 *and* E_2 *are finite unions of dyadic intervals.*

Now let us proceed to main lemmas of the paper:

Lemma 2 *Let number* $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ *and dyadic interval* $\Delta=\left[\frac{l}{2^K},\frac{l+1}{2^K}\right]$, $l\in[0,2^K)$ *be given. Then for any numbers* $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, $\gamma\neq 0$ *and natural number* q *there exist a measurable set* $E_q\subset\Delta$ *with measure* $|E_q|=(1-2^{-q})|\Delta|$ *and polynomials*

$$P_q(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1}a_kW_k(x)\quad and\quad H_q(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1}\delta_k a_kW_k(x),\quad \delta_k=\pm1,$$

in the Walsh system, so that

1) $$0<a_{k+1}\le a_k<\varepsilon\quad when\quad k\in[2^{n_0},2^{n_q}-1),$$

2) $$\int_{E_q}|\gamma\chi_\Delta(x)-H_q(x)|dx<\varepsilon\quad and\quad \int_{[0,1]\setminus\Delta}|H_q(x)|dx<\varepsilon,$$

3) $$\max_{2^{n_0}\le M<2^{n_q}}\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k a_kW_k(x)\right|dx<3|\gamma||\Delta|+\varepsilon,$$

4) $$\max_{2^{n_0}\le M<2^{n_q}}\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}a_kW_k(x)\right|dx<\varepsilon.$$

Proof of lemma 2 The proof is performed by using the mathematical induction method with respect to the number q. We choose such a natural number $K_1>K$ that

$$|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_1+1}{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2},\tag{3}$$

and present the interval Δ in the form of disjoint dyadic intervals' union

$$\Delta=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1}\Delta_i^{(1)}$$

with $\left|\Delta_i^{(1)}\right|=2^{-K_1-1},\ i\in[1,N_1]$. Obviously, $N_1=2^{K_1-K+1}$.

By denoting $K_0^{(1)}\equiv n_0-1$, for each natural number $i\in[1,N_1]$ we choose such a natural number $K_i^{(1)}>K_{i-1}^{(1)}\ \left(K_1^{(1)}>K_1\right)$ that the following conditions take place:

a) $\frac{K_i^{(1)}-K_1-1}{2}$ is a whole number,

b) $(K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)})|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4N_1}$,

c) $2|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+1}{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

It immediately follows from (3) that

$$|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_1^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}<\varepsilon. \tag{4}$$

By successively applying lemma 1 for each interval $\Delta_i^{(1)}$ $(i\in[1,N_1])$ and corresponding number $K_i^{(1)}$ we can find polynomials in the Walsh system

$$\widetilde{H}_i^{(1)}(x)=\sum_{k=2^{K_i^{(1)}}}^{2^{K_i^{(1)}+1}-1}\tilde{a}_kW_k(x),\quad i\in[1,N_1], \tag{5}$$

so that

$$|\tilde{a}_k|=|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}\quad\text{when}\quad k\in\left[2^{K_i^{(1)}},2^{K_i^{(1)}+1}\right), \tag{6}$$

$$\widetilde{H}_i^{(1)}(x)=\begin{cases}-\gamma, & \text{for}\quad x\in\widetilde{E}_i^{(1)}\subset\Delta_i^{(1)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_i^{(1)}\right|=\frac{\left|\Delta_i^{(1)}\right|}{2},\\ \gamma, & \text{for}\quad x\in\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(1)}\subset\Delta_i^{(1)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(1)}\right|=\frac{\left|\Delta_i^{(1)}\right|}{2},\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad x\notin\Delta_i^{(1)}.\end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Hence, by denoting

$$\widetilde{H}_1(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\widetilde{H}_i^{(1)}(x), \tag{8}$$

we get

$$\widetilde{H}_1(x)=\begin{cases}-\gamma, & \text{for}\quad x\in\widetilde{E}_1\subset\Delta, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_1\right|=\frac{|\Delta|}{2},\\ \gamma, & \text{for}\quad x\in\Delta\setminus\widetilde{E}_1,\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad x\notin\Delta.\end{cases} \tag{9}$$

As the polynomial $\widetilde{H}_i^{(1)}(x)$ is a linear combination of Walsh functions from $K_i^{(1)}$ group, it is clear, that the set $\widetilde{E}_1$ can be presented as a union of certain N_2 amount of disjoint dyadic intervals $\Delta_i^{(2)}$ with measure $\left|\Delta_i^{(2)}\right|=2^{-K_{N_1}^{(1)}-1}$, $i\in[1,N_2]$:

$$\widetilde{E}_1=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_2}\Delta_i^{(2)}.$$

After making the following definitions

$$E_1 = \Delta \setminus \widetilde{E}_1 \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{a}_k = |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}, & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{K_i^{(1)}}\right), \quad i \in [1, N_1], \\ a_k = |\tilde{a}_k|, \quad \delta_k = \frac{\tilde{a}_k}{|\tilde{a}_k|}, & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{n_0}, 2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}\right), \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

let us verify that the set E_1 and polynomials

$$P_1(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}-1} a_k W_k(x), \quad H_1(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x)$$

satisfy all lemma 2 statements for $q = 1$. Indeed, from (9) and (10) it immediately follows that $|E_1| = (1 - 2^{-1})|\Delta|$. The statement 1) follows from (4), (6), (11) and from monotonicity of numbers $K_i^{(1)}$ $(i \in [1, N_1])$. For the proof of statements 2) and 3) we present the polynomial $H_1(x)$ in the form of

$$H_1(x) = \widetilde{H}_1(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{k=2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1}}^{2^{K_i^{(1)}}-1} a_k W_k(x) = \tag{12}$$

$$= \widetilde{H}_1(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1} Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x),$$

where

$$Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+j}}^{2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+j+1}-1} a_k W_k(x) \tag{13}$$

$\left(\delta_k = 1 \text{ when } k \in \left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{K_i^{(1)}}\right), i \in [1, N_1]\right)$.

Since all coefficients a_k are equal when $k \in \left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{K_i^{(1)}}\right)$, $i \in [1, N_1]$ (see (11)) then considering (1.b), (9)–(13) and b) condition for numbers $K_i^{(1)}$ $(i \in [1, N_1])$ we obtain

$$\int_{E_1} |\gamma \chi_\Delta(x) - H_1(x)|\, dx \le \int_{E_1} \left|\gamma \chi_\Delta(x) - \widetilde{H}_1(x)\right| dx +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1} \int_0^1 \left|Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x)\right| dx = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left(K_i^{(1)} - K_{i-1}^{(1)} - 1\right) |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}} < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Delta}|H_1(x)|\,dx\le\sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x)\right|dx<\varepsilon.$$

To prove statements 3) and 4) we present the natural number $M\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}\right)$ in the form of $M=2^{\tilde n}+s,\ s\in[0,2^{\tilde n})$, where $\tilde n\in\left(K_{m-1}^{(1)},K_m^{(1)}\right]$ for some $m\in[1,N_1]$. From (8), (12) and (13) it follows that

$$\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|dx\le\int_0^1\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\widetilde H_i^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde n-K_{m-1}^{(1)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{m-1,j}^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde n}}^{2^{\tilde n}+s}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|dx.$$

By using (1.b)–(3), (5)–(8) and (11) we get

$$\int_0^1\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\widetilde H_i^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde n}}^{2^{\tilde n}+s}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|dx\le$$

$$\le\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\int_0^1\left|\widetilde H_i^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+\left(\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde n}}^{2^{\tilde n}+s}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|^2dx\right)^{\frac12}\le$$

$$\le\sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\int_0^1\left|\widetilde H_i^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+\left(\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde n}}^{2^{\tilde n+1}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|^2dx\right)^{\frac12}=$$

$$=|\gamma||\Delta|+|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_m^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}2^{\frac{\tilde n}{2}}<3|\gamma||\Delta|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

thus, taking

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x)\right|dx+\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde n-K_{m-1}^{(1)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{m-1,j}^{(1)}(x)\right|dx\le$$

$$\le\sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(1)}(x)\right|dx=\sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\left(K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}-1\right)|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

into account we verify the validity of the statement 3).

Further, for each natural number $n \in \left[n_0, K^{(1)}_{N_1}\right]$ we denote $b_n = a_k,\ k \in [2^n, 2^{n+1})$ (coefficients a_k of Walsh functions from n–th group are equal in $H_1(x)$). It follows from (1.b)–(3), (6), (11) and b) condition for numbers $K^{(1)}_i$ $(i \in [1, N_1])$ that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{K^{(1)}_{N_1}} b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{n=K^{(1)}_{i-1}+1}^{K^{(1)}_i} b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \big(K^{(1)}_i - K^{(1)}_{i-1}\big)|\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K^{(1)}_i + K_1 + 1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k(x) \right| dx \le \sum_{n=n_0}^{\tilde{n}-1} b_n + \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{\tilde{n}}}^{2^{\tilde{n}}+s} a_k W_k(x) \right| dx \le$$

$$\le \sum_{n=n_0}^{K^{(1)}_{N_1}} b_n + \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{\tilde{n}}}^{2^{\tilde{n}+1}-1} b_{\tilde{n}} W_k(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K^{(1)}_m + K_1 + 1}{2}} 2^{\frac{\tilde{n}}{2}} < \varepsilon,$$

which proves the statement 4).

Assume that for $q > 1$ natural numbers

$$K^{(1)}_1 < \cdots < K^{(1)}_{N_1} < \cdots < K^{(q-1)}_1 < \cdots < K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}},$$

sets

$$\widetilde{E}_{q-1} \subset \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad E_{q-1} = \Delta \setminus \widetilde{E}_{q-1}$$

and polynomials

$$P_{q-1}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}+1}-1} a_k W_k(x), \quad H_{q-1}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}+1}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x),\ \delta_k = \pm 1$$

are already chosen to satisfy the following conditions:

$a')$ $\frac{K^{(\nu)}_i - K^{(\nu-1)}_{N_{\nu-1}} - 1}{2}$ is a whole number $\big(K^{(0)}_{N_0} \equiv K_1\big)$,

$b')$ $\big(K^{(\nu)}_i - K^{(\nu)}_{i-1}\big) 2^{\nu-1} |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K^{(\nu)}_i + K^{(\nu-1)}_{N_{\nu-1}} + 1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\nu+1} N_\nu}$,

$c')$ $2^\nu |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K^{(\nu)}_i + 1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$,

(14) $$a_k = 2^{\nu-1} |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K^{(\nu)}_i + K^{(\nu-1)}_{N_{\nu-1}} + 1}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \big[2^{K^{(\nu)}_{i-1}+1}, 2^{K^{(\nu)}_i + 1}\big),$$

$$K^{(\nu)}_0 \equiv \begin{cases} K^{(\nu-1)}_{N_{\nu-1}}, & \text{if} \quad \nu > 1, \\ n_0 - 1, & \text{if} \quad \nu = 1, \end{cases}$$

for any natural numbers $i \in [1, N_\nu]$ and $\nu \in [1, q-1]$. Besides,

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}} b_n < \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad b_n \equiv a_k,\ k \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}), \tag{15}$$

$$H_{q-1}(x) = \widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu} \sum_{k=2^{K^{(\nu)}_{i-1}+1}}^{2^{K^{(\nu)}_i}-1} a_k W_k(x), \tag{16}$$

$$\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x) = \begin{cases} -(2^{q-1}-1)\gamma, & \text{for} \quad x \in \widetilde{E}_{q-1}, \\ \gamma, & \text{for} \quad x \in E_{q-1}, \\ 0, & \text{for} \quad x \notin \Delta, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

$$\left|\widetilde{E}_{q-1}\right| = 2^{-q+1}|\Delta| \quad \text{and} \quad \left|E_{q-1}\right| = \left(1 - 2^{-q+1}\right)|\Delta| \tag{18}$$

and the set $\widetilde{E}_{q-1}$ can be presented as a union of certain N_q amount of disjoint dyadic intervals $\Delta_i^{(q)}$ with measure $\left|\Delta_i^{(q)}\right| = 2^{-K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}-1}$, $i \in [1, N_q]$:

$$\widetilde{E}_{q-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_q} \Delta_i^{(q)}.$$

For each natural number $i \in [1, N_q]$ we choose such a natural number $K_i^{(q)} > K_{i-1}^{(q)}$ $\left(K_0^{(q)} \equiv K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}\right)$ that the following conditions hold:

$a'')$ $\frac{K_i^{(q)} - K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}} - 1}{2}$ is a whole number,

$b'')$ $\left(K_i^{(q)} - K_{i-1}^{(q)}\right) 2^{q-1}|\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)} + K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}} + 1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+1} N_q}$,

$c'')$ $2^q |\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

By a successive application of lemma 1 for each interval $\Delta_i^{(q)} \subset \widetilde{E}_{q-1}$ ($i \in [1, N_q]$) and corresponding number $K_i^{(q)}$ we can find polynomials in the Walsh system

$$\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{K_i^{(q)}}}^{2^{K_i^{(q)}+1}-1} \tilde{a}_k W_k(x), \quad i \in [1, N_q], \tag{19}$$

so that

$$|\tilde{a}_k| = 2^{q-1}|\gamma| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)} + K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}} + 1}{2}} \quad \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_i^{(q)}}, 2^{K_i^{(q)}+1}\right), \tag{20}$$

$$\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x)=\begin{cases}-2^{q-1}\gamma, & \text{for} \quad x\in \widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(q)}\subset \Delta_i^{(q)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(q)}\right|=\frac{\left|\Delta_i^{(q)}\right|}{2},\\ 2^{q-1}\gamma, & \text{for} \quad x\in \widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(q)}\subset \Delta_i^{(q)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(q)}\right|=\frac{\left|\Delta_i^{(q)}\right|}{2},\\ 0, & \text{for} \quad x\notin \Delta_i^{(q)}.\end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Hence, by denoting

$$\widetilde{H}_q(x)=\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{N_q}\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x) \tag{22}$$

and taking (17) and (18) into account we obtain

$$\widetilde{H}_q(x)=\begin{cases}-(2^q-1)\gamma, & \text{for} \quad x\in \widetilde{E}_q\subset \widetilde{E}_{q-1}, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_q\right|=\frac{|\Delta|}{2^q},\\ \gamma, & \text{for} \quad x\in \Delta\setminus \widetilde{E}_q,\\ 0, & \text{for} \quad x\notin \Delta.\end{cases} \tag{23}$$

After defining

$$E_q=\Delta\setminus \widetilde{E}_q \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{a}_k=2^{q-1}|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}}, & \text{for } k\in\left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(q)}+1},2^{K_i^{(q)}}\right),\ i\in[1,N_q],\\ a_k=|\tilde{a}_k|, \quad \delta_k=\frac{\tilde{a}_k}{|\tilde{a}_k|}, & \text{for } k\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{K_{N_q}^{(q)}+1}\right),\end{cases} \tag{25}$$

let us verify that the set E_q and polynomials

$$P_q(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1}a_kW_k(x), \quad H_q(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1}\delta_ka_kW_k(x),$$

where $n_q\equiv K_{N_q}^{(q)}+1$, satisfy all lemma 2 statements. Indeed, from (23) and (24) it follows that $\left|E_q\right|=(1-2^{-q})|\Delta|$. The statement 1) follows from (4), (14), (20), (25) and from monotonicity of numbers $K_i^{(\nu)}$ ($i\in[1,N_\nu],\ \nu\in[1,q]$). For the proof of statements 2) and 3) we present the polynomial $H_q(x)$ in the form of

$$H_q(x)=\widetilde{H}_q(x)+\sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{k=2^{K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}+1}}^{2^{K_i^{(\nu)}}-1}a_kW_k(x)= \tag{26}$$

$$=\widetilde{H}_q(x)+\sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1}Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x),$$

where

$$Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x)=\sum_{k=2^{K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}+j}}^{2^{K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}+j+1}-1}a_kW_k(x). \tag{27}$$

Considering relations (1.b), (14), (23)–(26) and $b')$, $b'')$ conditions for numbers $K_i^{(\nu)}$ $(i\in[1,N_\nu],\ \nu\in[1,q])$ we have

$$\int_{E_q}|\gamma\chi_{\Delta}(x)-H_q(x)|\,dx\le\int_{E_q}\left|\gamma\chi_{\Delta}(x)-\widetilde{H}_q(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x)\right|dx=$$

$$=\sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\big(K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1\big)2^{\nu-1}|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(\nu)}+K_{N_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)}+1}{2}}<\varepsilon$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Delta}|H_q(x)|\,dx\le\sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x)\right|dx<\varepsilon.$$

To prove statements 3) and 4) we present the natural number $M\in[2^{n_0},2^{n_q})$ in the form of $M=2^{\tilde n}+s,\ s\in[0,2^{\tilde n})$. Let us consider only the case when $\tilde n\in\big(K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)},K_{N_q}^{(q)}\big]$, since all other cases were under consideration in previous steps of induction. Let $\tilde n\in\big(K_{m-1}^{(q)},K_m^{(q)}\big]$ for some $m\in[1,N_q]$. From (16), (22), (26) and (27) it follows that

$$\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_ka_kW_k(x)\right|dx\le\int_0^1\left|\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\sum_{\nu=1}^{q-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x)\right|dx+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(q)}-K_{i-1}^{(q)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{i,j}^{(q)}(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde n-K_{m-1}^{(q)}-1}\int_0^1\left|Q_{m-1,j}^{(q)}(x)\right|dx+\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde n}}^{2^{\tilde n}+s}\delta_ka_kW_k(x)\right|dx.$$

By analyzing relations (1.b), (2), (17)–(21), (25) and $b')$ $b'')$, $c')$ conditions for numbers $K_i^{(\nu)}$ $(i\in[1,N_\nu],\ \nu\in[1,q])$ we get

$$\int_0^1\left|\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x)\right|dx+\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde n}}^{2^{\tilde n}+s}\delta_ka_kW_k(x)\right|dx\le$$

$$\le \int_0^1 |\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x)|dx + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\int_0^1 |\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x)|dx + \left(\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde{n}}}^{2^{\tilde{n}}+s}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le$$

$$\le \int_0^1 |\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(x)|dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N_q}\int_0^1 |\widetilde{H}_i^{(q)}(x)|dx + \left(\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde{n}}}^{2^{\tilde{n}+1}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} <$$

$$< 2|\gamma||\Delta| + |\gamma||\Delta| + 2^{q-1}|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_m^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}}2^{\frac{\tilde{n}}{2}} < 3|\gamma||\Delta| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{q-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1}\int_0^1 \left|Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x)\right|dx + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(q)}-K_{i-1}^{(q)}-1}\int_0^1 \left|Q_{i,j}^{(q)}(x)\right|dx +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{n}-K_{m-1}^{(q)}-1}\int_0^1 \left|Q_{m-1,j}^{(q)}(x)\right|dx \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\sum_{j=1}^{K_i^{(\nu)}-K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}-1}\int_0^1 \left|Q_{i,j}^{(\nu)}(x)\right|dx =$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{N_\nu}\big(K_i^{(\nu)} - K_{i-1}^{(\nu)} - 1\big)2^{\nu-1}|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(\nu)}+K_{N_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)}+1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

which proves the statement 3).

Further, for each natural number $n \in \big[n_0, K_{N_q}^{(q)}\big]$ we denote

$$b_n \equiv a_k, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}),$$

and use (1.b), (2), (15), (20), (25), c') condition for number $K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}$ and b'') condition for numbers $K_i^{(q)} (i \in [1, N_q])$ to obtain

$$\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k(x)\right| dx \le \sum_{n=n_0}^{\tilde{n}-1} b_n + \int_0^1 \left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde{n}}}^{2^{\tilde{n}}+s} a_k W_k(x)\right| dx \le$$

$$\le \sum_{n=n_0}^{K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}} b_n + \sum_{i=1}^{N_q}\sum_{n=K_{i-1}^{(q)}+1}^{K_i^{(q)}} b_n + \left(\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{k=2^{\tilde{n}}}^{2^{\tilde{n}+1}-1} b_{\tilde{n}} W_k(x)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_q}\big(K_i^{(q)} - K_{i-1}^{(q)}\big)2^{q-1}|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}} +$$

$$+ 2^{q-1}|\gamma|2^{-\frac{K_m^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}}2^{\frac{\tilde{n}}{2}} < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

Lemma 3. *Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x)$ be such a step function that $\gamma_j \neq 0$ and $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\nu_0}$ are disjoint dyadic intervals with $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} |\Delta_j| = 1$. Then one can find a measurable set $E_\varepsilon \subset [0,1]$ with measure $|E_\varepsilon| > 1-\varepsilon$ and polynomials*

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n-1} a_k W_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad H(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = \pm 1,$$

in the Walsh system, which satisfy the following conditions:

1) $$0 < a_{k+1} < a_k < \varepsilon, \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^n - 1),$$

2) $$\int_{E_\varepsilon} |f(x) - H(x)|\, dx < \varepsilon,$$

3) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \le M < 2^n} \int_e \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| dx < \int_e |f(x)| dx + \varepsilon$$

for any measurable set $e \subseteq E_\varepsilon$,

4) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \le M < 2^n} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k(x) \right| dx < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of lemma 3 Without loss of generality we can assume that intervals Δ_j ($j \in [1, \nu_0]$) have the same length and are small enough to privide the condition

$$\max_{j \in [1,\nu_0]} 3|\gamma_j||\Delta_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \tag{28}$$

We choose a natural number $q > \log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and apply lemma 2 for each interval Δ_j to get sets $E_q^{(j)} \subset \Delta_j$ with measure

$$\left|E_q^{(j)}\right| = (1 - 2^{-q})|\Delta_j| > (1-\varepsilon)|\Delta_j| \tag{29}$$

and polynomials

$$\widetilde{P}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_{j-1}}}^{2^{n_j}-1} \tilde{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x), \quad \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_{j-1}}}^{2^{n_j}-1} \delta_k^{(j)} \tilde{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x), \ \delta_k^{(j)} = \pm 1,$$

in the Walsh system, which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \tilde{a}_{k+1}^{(1)} \le \tilde{a}_k^{(1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & \text{for } k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^{n_1} - 1), \\ 0 < \tilde{a}_{k+1}^{(j)} \le \tilde{a}_k^{(j)} < \tilde{a}_{2^{n_{j-1}}-1}^{(j-1)}, & \text{for } k \in [2^{n_{j-1}}, 2^{n_j} - 1),\ j \in [2, \nu_0], \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

(31) $$\int_{E_q^{(j)}} |\gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) - \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x)|dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{8\nu_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[0,1]\setminus\Delta_j} |\widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x)|dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{8\nu_0^2},$$

(32) $$\max_{2^{n_j-1}\le M<2^{n_j}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_j-1}}^{M} \delta_k^{(j)} \tilde{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x) \right| dx <$$

$$< 3|\gamma_j||\Delta_j| + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{(see (28))}$$

and

(33) $$\max_{2^{n_j-1}\le M<2^{n_j}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_j-1}}^{M} \tilde{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x) \right| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}}.$$

We define a set E_ε and polynomials $\widetilde{P}(x)$, $\widetilde{H}(x)$ in the following way:

(34) $$E_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu_0} E_q^{(j)},$$

$$\widetilde{P}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \widetilde{P}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1} \tilde{a}_k W_k(x),$$

$$\widetilde{H}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1} \delta_k \tilde{a}_k W_k(x),$$

where $\tilde{a}_k = \tilde{a}_k^{(j)}$ and $\delta_k = \delta_k^{(j)}$, when $k \in [2^{n_{j-1}}, 2^{n_j})$.

It follows from (29), (30) and (34) that

$$|E_\varepsilon| > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

$$0 < \tilde{a}_{k+1} \le \tilde{a}_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^{n_{\nu_0}} - 1).$$

Considering (31) and (34), we have

$$\int_{E_\varepsilon} \left| f(x) - \widetilde{H}(x) \right| dx = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \int_{E_q^{(j)}} \left| f(x) - \widetilde{H}(x) \right| dx \le$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \int_{E_q^{(j)}} \left| \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) - \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) \right| dx +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \left(\sum_{l\in[1,\nu_0]\setminus\{j\}} \int_{[0,1]\setminus\Delta_l} \left| \widetilde{H}_q^{(l)}(x) \right| dx \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Further, let M be a natural number from $[2^{n_0}, 2^{n_{\nu_0}})$. Then $M \in [2^{n_{m-1}}, 2^{n_m})$ for some $m \in [1, \nu_0]$. Taking (28)–(34) into account for any measurable set $e \subseteq E_\varepsilon$ we get

$$\int_e \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k \tilde{a}_k W_k(x) \right| dx \leq$$

$$\leq \int_e \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) \right| dx + \int_e \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_e \left| \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) - \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) \right| dx + \int_e \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) \right| dx +$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx <$$

$$< \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \sum_{l=1}^{\nu_0} \int_{E_q^{(l)}} \left| \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) - \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) \right| dx + \int_e \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \left| \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) \right| dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \int_{E_q^{(j)}} \left| \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x) - \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) \right| dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \left(\sum_{l \in [1,\nu_0] \setminus \{j\}} \int_{[0,1] \setminus \Delta_j} \left| \widetilde{H}_q^{(j)}(x) \right| dx \right) +$$

$$+ \int_e |f(x)| dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \int_e |f(x)| dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \tilde{a}_k W_k(x) \right| dx \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \max_{2^{n_{j-1}} \leq N < 2^{n_j}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_{j-1}}}^{N} \tilde{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x) \right| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence, polynomials $\widetilde{P}(x)$ and $\widetilde{H}(x)$ satisfy all lemma 3 statements except for 1). To have strict inequalities between coefficients we choose a natural number $N_0 > \log_2 \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ and set

$$a_k = \tilde{a}_k + 2^{-(N_0+k)}.$$

Now it is not hard to verify that new polynomials

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1} a_k W_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad H(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = \pm 1$$

satisfy all lemma 3 statements.

Lemma 3 is proved.

Lemma 4 *For any $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists a weight function $0 < \mu(x) \le 1$ with $|\{x \in [0,1];\ \mu(x) = 1\}| > 1 - \delta$, so that for any number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and step function $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x)$ with $\gamma_j \neq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} |\Delta_j| = 1$, where $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\nu_0}$ are disjoint dyadic intervals, one can find polynomials*

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n-1} a_k W_k(x) \quad \textit{and} \quad H(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = \pm 1,$$

in the Walsh system, which satisfy the following conditions:

1) $$0 < a_{k+1} < a_k < \varepsilon, \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^n - 1),$$

2) $$\int_0^1 |f(x) - H(x)|\mu(x)dx < \varepsilon,$$

3) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \le M < 2^n} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < \int_0^1 |f(x)|\mu(x)dx + \varepsilon,$$

4) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \le M < 2^n} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k(x) \right| dx < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Lemma 4 Let $\delta \in (0,1)$, $N_0 = 1$ and $\{f_m(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$,

$$f_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_m} \gamma_j^{(m)} \chi_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}(x), \tag{35}$$

be a sequence of all step functions with rational $\gamma_j^{(m)} \neq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_m} |\Delta_j^{(m)}| = 1$, where $\{\Delta_j^{(m)}\}_{j=1}^{\nu_m}$ are disjoint dyadic intervals. By successively applying lemma 3 one can find sets $E_m \subset [0,1]$ and polynomials

$$P_m(x) = \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{2^{N_m}-1} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \tag{36}$$

$$H_m(x) = \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{2^{N_m}-1} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \quad \delta_k^{(m)} = \pm 1, \tag{37}$$

in the Walsh system which satisfy the following conditions for any natural number m:

$$|E_m| > 1 - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}, \tag{38}$$

$$0 < a_{k+1}^{(m)} < a_k^{(m)} < \frac{1}{4^{N_{m-1}}}, \quad k \in [2^{N_{m-1}}, 2^{N_m} - 1), \tag{39}$$

$$\int_{E_m} |f_m(x) - H_m(x)|\, dx < \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}, \tag{40}$$

$$\max_{2^{N_{m-1}} \le M < 2^{N_m}} \int_e \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx < \int_e |f_m(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \tag{41}$$

for any measurable set $e \subseteq E_m$ and

$$\max_{2^{N_{m-1}} \le M < 2^{N_m}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx < \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}. \tag{42}$$

We set

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_n = \bigcap\limits_{m=n}^{+\infty} E_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ E = \Omega_{\widetilde{n}} = \bigcap\limits_{m=\widetilde{n}}^{+\infty} E_m, \quad \widetilde{n} = [\log_{1/2} \delta] + 1, \\ B = \Omega_{\widetilde{n}} \bigcup \left(\bigcup\limits_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n \setminus \Omega_{n-1} \right). \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

It is clear (see (38) and (43)) that

$$|B| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |E| > 1 - \delta.$$

We define a function $\mu(x)$ in the following way:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in E \cup ([0,1] \setminus B), \\ \mu_n, & x \in \Omega_n \setminus \Omega_{n-1}, \quad n \ge \widetilde{n} + 1, \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

where

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \left[\prod_{m=1}^{n} h_m \right]^{-1}, \tag{45}$$

$$h_m = 1 + \int_0^1 |f_m(x)| dx + \max_{2^{N_{m-1}} \le M < 2^{N_m}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx.$$

It follows from (43)–(45) that for all $m \ge \widetilde{n}$

$$\int_{[0,1] \setminus \Omega_m} |H_m(x)|\, \mu(x) dx = \sum_{n=m+1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega_n \setminus \Omega_{n-1}} |H_m(x)|\, \mu_n dx \right) < \tag{46}$$

$$< \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}h_m} \left(\int_0^1 |H_m(x)|\, dx \right) < \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}.$$

In a similar way for all $m \geq \widetilde{n}$ and $M \in [2^{N_{m-1}}, 2^{N_m})$ we have

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m} |f_m(x)|\, \mu(x)dx < \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \tag{47}$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m} \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}. \tag{48}$$

Since $\Omega_m \subset E_m$, then considering (40), (43)–(47), for all $m \geq \widetilde{n}$ we get

$$\int_0^1 |f_m(x) - H_m(x)|\mu(x)dx = \int_{\Omega_m} |f_m(x) - H_m(x)|\mu(x)dx + \tag{49}$$

$$+ \int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m} |f_m(x) - H_m(x)|\mu(x)dx < \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} < \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}.$$

Further, taking relations (41), (43)–(45), (48) into account for all $M \in [2^{N_{m-1}}, 2^{N_m})$ and $m \geq \widetilde{n} + 1$ we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx = \tag{50}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_m} \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx +$$

$$+ \int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m} \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx <$$

$$< \int_{\Omega_{\widetilde{n}}} \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx +$$

$$+ \sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m} \mu_n \cdot \int_{\Omega_n\setminus\Omega_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} <$$

$$< \int_{\Omega_{\widetilde{n}}} |f_m(x)|dx + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + \sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m} \mu_n \left(\int_{\Omega_n\setminus\Omega_{n-1}} |f_m(x)|dx + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{\widetilde{n}}} |f_m(x)|dx + \sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m} \int_{\Omega_n\setminus\Omega_{n-1}} |f_m(x)| \cdot \mu_n dx +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}\left(2+\sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m}\mu_n\right)<\int_0^1|f_m(x)|\mu(x)dx+\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}.$$

Let $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$ be arbitrarily given. From the sequence (35) we choose such a function $f_{m_0}(x)$ that

$$m_0>\max\left\{\tilde{n},\ \log_2\frac{8}{\varepsilon}\right\}\quad\text{and}\quad 2^{N_{m_0-1}}>2^{n_0}, \tag{51}$$

$$\int_0^1|f(x)-f_{m_0}(x)|dx<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \tag{52}$$

and for $k\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{N_{m_0}}\right)$ set

$$a_k=\begin{cases}a^{(m_0)}_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}}+\frac{1}{2^{k+m_0}}, & \text{when}\quad k\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{N_{m_0-1}}\right),\\ \\ a^{(m_0)}_k, & \text{when}\quad k\in\left[2^{N_{m_0-1}},2^{N_{m_0}}\right),\end{cases} \tag{53}$$

$$\delta_k=\begin{cases}1, & \text{when}\quad k\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{N_{m_0-1}}\right),\\ \\ \delta^{(m_0)}_k=\pm1, & \text{when}\quad k\in\left[2^{N_{m_0-1}},2^{N_{m_0}}\right),\end{cases} \tag{54}$$

and

$$P(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0}}-1}a_kW_k(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0-1}}-1}a_kW_k(x)+P_{m_0}(x),$$

$$H(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0}}-1}\delta_ka_kW_k(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0-1}}-1}a_kW_k(x)+H_{m_0}(x).$$

Now let us verify that the function $\mu(x)$ and polynomials $P(x)$ and $H(x)$ satisfy all requirements of lemma 4. The statement 1) immediately follows from (39), (51) and (53). By referring to (1.a), (39), (49), (51)–(54) we get the statement 2):

$$\int_0^1|f(x)-H(x)|\mu(x)dx\le\int_0^1|f(x)-f_{m_0}(x)|dx+$$

$$+\int_0^1|f_{m_0}(x)-H_{m_0}(x)|\mu(x)dx+a^{(m_0)}_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}}\cdot\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0-1}}-1}W_k(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0-1}}-1}\frac{1}{2^{k+m_0}}<\varepsilon.$$

Further, by using (50)–(54) we obtain

$$\max_{2^{n_0}\le M<2^{N_{m_0}}}\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|\mu(x)dx\le$$

$$\le\max_{2^{n_0}\le M_1<2^{N_{m_0-1}}}\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1}a_k W_k(x)\right|dx+$$

$$+\max_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}\le M_2<2^{N_{m_0}}}\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m_0-1}}}^{M_2}\delta_k^{(m_0)}a_k^{(m_0)}W_k(x)\right|\mu(x)dx<$$

$$<\max_{2^{n_0}\le M_1<2^{N_{m_0-1}}}\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1}a_k W_k(x)\right|dx+\int_0^1|f(x)|\mu(x)dx+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let M_1 be an arbitrary natural number from $\left[2^{n_0},2^{N_{m_0-1}}\right)$. Then $M_1\in[2^{n_1},2^{n_1+1})$ for some $n_1\in[n_0,N_{m_0-1})$ and, considering (1.a), we have

$$\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1}a_k W_k(x)\right|dx<a^{(m_0)}_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}}\cdot\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_1}-1}W_k(x)\right|dx+a^{(m_0)}_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}}\cdot 2^{n_1}+$$

$$+\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1}\frac{1}{2^{k+m_0}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

which proves the statement 3). The statment 4) can be proved in a similar way by using relations (42), (51) and (53).

Lemma 4 is proved.

3 Proof of theorem

Let $\delta\in(0,1)$ and $\{f_m(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$,

$$f_m(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_m}\gamma_j^{(m)}\chi_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}(x),\tag{55}$$

be a sequence of all step functions with rational $\gamma_j^{(m)}\neq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_m}|\Delta_j^{(m)}|=1$, where $\{\Delta_j^{(m)}\}_{j=1}^{\nu_m}$ are disjoint dyadic intervals.

By applying lemma 4 we obtain a weight function $0<\mu(x)\le 1$ with $|\{x\in[0,1],\ \mu(x)=1\}|>1-\delta$ and polynomials

$$P_m(x)=\sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{N_m-1}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x),\tag{56}$$

$$H_m(x) = \sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{N_m-1} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \quad \delta_k^{(m)} = \pm 1, \tag{57}$$

in the Walsh system, which satisfy the following conditions for each natural number m:

$$\begin{cases} 0 < a_{k+1}^{(1)} < a_k^{(1)}, \\ 0 < a_{k+1}^{(m)} < a_k^{(m)} < \min\{2^{-m}, a_{N_{m-1}-1}^{(m-1)}\}, \quad \text{for} \quad m > 1, \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

when $k \in [N_{m-1}, N_m - 1)$,

$$\int_0^1 |f_m(x) - H_m(x)|\, \mu(x)dx < 2^{-m-2}, \tag{59}$$

and for each natural number $M \in [N_{m-1}, N_m)$

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < \int_0^1 |f_m(x)|\mu(x)dx + 2^{-m}, \tag{60}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{M} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right| dx < 2^{-m-2}. \tag{61}$$

From (56) and (61) it immediately follows that

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m(x) \right| dx \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |P_m(x)|dx < +\infty. \tag{62}$$

We denote $P_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_0-1} a_k^{(0)} W_k(x)$, where coefficients $a_k^{(0)}$ are arbitrary monotonically decreasing positive numbers with $a_{N_0-1}^{(0)} > a_{N_0}^{(1)}$ and define a function $g(x)$ and a series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k W_k(x)$ in the following way:

$$g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(x), \tag{63}$$

$$a_k = a_k^{(m)}, \text{ when } k \in [N_{m-1}, N_m),\ m \ge 0,\ N_{-1} = 0. \tag{64}$$

Considering (58), (61)–(64) we conclude that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k W_k(x)$ converges to $g \in L^1[0,1]$ in $L^1[0,1]$ metric, and $a_k = \int_0^1 g(x) W_k(x)dx \searrow 0$.

Further, let $f(x)$ be an arbitrary function from $L^1_\mu[0,1]$. Then one can choose such a polynomial $f_{\nu_1}(x)$ from the sequence (55), that that

$$\int_0^1 |f(x) - P_0(x) - f_{\nu_1}(x)|\, \mu(x)dx < 2^{-3}. \tag{65}$$

By denoting

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} \delta_k^{(\nu_1)} = \pm 1, & \text{when} \quad k \in [N_{\nu_1 - 1}, N_{\nu_1}) \\ 1, & \text{when} \quad k \in [0, N_{\nu_1 - 1}) \end{cases}$$

and taking (57), (59), (60) and (65) into account we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_1}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx =$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{\nu_1 - 1} P_m(x) - H_{\nu_1}(x) \right| \mu(x)dx <$$

$$< \int_0^1 |f(x) - P_0(x) - f_{\nu_1}(x)|\, \mu(x)dx + \int_0^1 |f_{\nu_1}(x) - H_{\nu_1}(x)|\, \mu(x)dx +$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\nu_1 - 1} \int_0^1 |P_m(x)|dx < 2^{-3} + 2^{-\nu_1 - 2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\nu_1 - 1} 2^{-m-2} < 2^{-1},$$

and for any natural number $M \in [N_{\nu_1 - 1}, N_{\nu_1})$ we get

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=N_{\nu_1 - 1}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < \int_0^1 |f_{\nu_1}(x)| \mu(x)dx + 2^{-\nu_1 - 2}.$$

Assume that for $q > 1$ numbers $\nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots < \nu_{q-1}$ and $\{\delta_k = \pm 1\}_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1}$ are already chosen, so that for each natural number $j \in [1, q-1]$ the following conditions hold:

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} \delta_k^{(\nu_j)} = \pm 1, & \text{when} \quad k \in [N_{\nu_j - 1}, N_{\nu_j}), \\ 1, & \text{when} \quad k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1} [N_{\nu_j - 1}, N_{\nu_j}), \end{cases}$$

$$\int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_j}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < 2^{-j}, \tag{66}$$

and for any natural number $M \in [N_{\nu_j - 1}, N_{\nu_j})$

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=N_{\nu_j - 1}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < \int_0^1 |f_{\nu_j}(x)| \mu(x)dx + 2^{-\nu_j - 2}.$$

We choose a function $f_{\nu_q}(x)$ from the sequence (55) with $\nu_q > \nu_{q-1}$ so that

$$\int_0^1 \left| \left[f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right] - f_{\nu_q}(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < 2^{-q-2}, \tag{67}$$

and define

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} \delta_k^{(\nu_q)} = \pm 1, & \text{when} \quad k \in \big[N_{\nu_q - 1}, N_{\nu_q}\big), \\ 1, & \text{when} \quad k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} [N_{\nu_j - 1}, N_{\nu_j}). \end{cases} \tag{68}$$

Taking (59), (61), (64), (67) and (68) into account we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_q}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx = \tag{69}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) - \sum_{m=\nu_{q-1}+1}^{\nu_q - 1} P_m(x) - H_{\nu_q}(x) \right| \mu(x)dx <$$

$$< \int_0^1 \left| \left[f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right] - f_{\nu_q}(x) \right| \mu(x)dx +$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \left| f_{\nu_q}(x) - H_{\nu_q}(x) \right| \mu(x)dx + \sum_{m=\nu_{q-1}+1}^{\nu_q - 1} \int_0^1 |P_m(x)|dx \le$$

$$\le 2^{-q-2} + 2^{-\nu_q - 2} + \sum_{m=\nu_{q-1}+1}^{\nu_q - 1} 2^{-m-2} < 2^{-q-2} + 2^{-q-2} + 2^{-q-1} = 2^{-q}.$$

Further, from (66) and (67) we have

$$\int_0^1 |f_{\nu_q}(x)|\mu(x)dx < \int_0^1 \left| \left[f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right] - f_{\nu_q}(x) \right| \mu(x)dx +$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < 2^{-q-2} + 2^{-q+1} < 2^{-q+2}.$$

Thus, from (60) and (68) it follows that for each natural number $M \in [N_{\nu_q - 1}, N_{\nu_q})$

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=N_{\nu_q - 1}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) \right| \mu(x)dx < \int_0^1 |f_{\nu_q}(x)|\mu(x)dx + 2^{-\nu_q - 2} < 2^{-q+3} \tag{70}$$

is true.

Apparently, by using induction one can determine a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{\nu_q\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$ and numbers $\delta_k = \pm 1$ so that the conditions (68)–(70) hold for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, considering also (61), we obtain a series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = \pm 1,$$

which converges to f in $L_\mu^1[0,1]$ metric.

The theorem is proved.

References

1. G. D. Birkhoff, Démonstration d'un théoréme élémentaire sur les fonctions entiéres, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 189, 473–475 (1929)
2. G. R. MacLane, Sequences of derivatives and normal families, J. Analyse Math. 2, 72–87 (1952)
3. S. M. Voronin, Theorem on "universality" of the Riemann zeta–function, Izv. AN SSSR, 39:3, 475–486 (1975); English transl. in Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 9:3, 443-445 (1975)
4. K. G. Grosse–Erdmann, Holomorphe Monster und Universelle Funktionen, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen, 176, 1–84 (1987)
5. D. E. Menshov, On universal sequences of functions, [in Russian], Sbornik Math., 65:2, 272–312 (1964)
6. A. A. Talalian, On the universal series with respect to rearrangements, [in Russian], Izv. AN. SSSR ser. Math., 24, 567–604 (1960)
7. P. L. Ul'yanov, Representation of functions by series and classes $\varphi(L)$, [in Russian], UMN, 27:2, 3–52 (1972)
8. A. M. Olevsky, About some features of Fourier series in spaces L^p $(p < 2)$, [in Russian], Sbornik Math., 77:2, 251–258 (1968)
9. V. I. Ivanov, Representation of functions by series in metric symmetric spaces without linear functionals, Proc. of the Steklov Institute of Math., 189, 37–85 (1990)
10. V. G. Krotov, Representation of measurable functions by series in the Faber–Schauder system, and universal series, Math. USSR-Izv., 11:1, 205–218 (1977)
11. M. G. Grigorian, On the representation of functions by orthogonal series in weighted spaces, Studia Mathematica, 134:3, 207–216 (1999)
12. M. G. Grigorian, On orthogonal series universal in $L^p[0,1]$, $p > 0$, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 37:2, 16–29 (2002)
13. M. G. Grigorian, S. A. Episkoposian, On universal trigonometric series in weighted spaces $L^p_\mu[0,2\pi]$, East J. Approx., 5:4, 483–492 (1999)
14. M. G. Grigorian, Nonlinear Approximation by the Trigonometric System in Weighted L^p_μ Spaces, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 50:3, 128–140 (2015)
15. M. G. Grigorian, K. A. Navasardyan, On behavior of Fourier coefficients by the Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 51:1, 3–21 (2016)
16. G. G. Gevorgyan, K. A. Navasardyan, On Walsh series with monotone coefficients, Izvestiya: Mathematics, 63:1, 37–55 (1999)
17. K. A. Navasardyan, Series with monotone coefficients by Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 42:5, 258–269 (2007)
18. S. A. Episkoposian, On the existence of universal series by Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 38:4, 16–32 (2003)
19. S. A. Episkoposian, On the existence of universal series by trigonometric system, Journal of Functional Analysis, 230, 169–189 (2006)
20. B. I. Golubov, A. F. Efimov, V. A. Skvartsov, Series and transformations of Walsh, Nauka, Moscow (1987)
21. M. G. Grigoryan, A. A. Sargsyan, On the universal function for the class $L^p[0,1], p \in (0,1)$, Journal of Functional Analysis, doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2016.02.021, 270, 3111–3133 (2016)
22. M. G. Grigoryan, T. M. Grigoryan, A. A. Sargsyan, On the universal function for weighted spaces $L^p_\mu[0,1]$, $p \geq 1$, arXiv:1512.07786 (2016)
23. K. A. Navasardyan, On null-series by double Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 29:1, 50–68 (1994)